

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6       **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7       **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**  
8       **AT SEATTLE**

9  
10      MATTHEW SUND ,  
11                          Plaintiff,  
12                          v.  
13      SANMAR CORPORATION,  
14                          Defendant.

9                          CASE NO. C17-357-JLR

10                          **ORDER DECLINING TO REVIEW**  
11                          **IFP APPLICATION AND**  
12                          **GRANTING LEAVE TO CORRECT**  
13                          **DEFICIENCIES**

14      Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”). Dkt.  
15      1. The application is deficient because plaintiff did not sign the portion of the form indicating he  
16      declares under penalty of perjury that his answers are true and correct. *See* Dkt.1 at 2. Plaintiff  
17      must correct this deficiency no later than **March 24, 2017**. Failure to correct the deficiency may  
18      result in a recommendation that this matter be dismissed.

19      The Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order, plaintiff’s original IFP application (Dkt. 1),  
20      and the Court’s standard IFP form to plaintiff and to the Honorable James L. Robart.

21      DATED this 9th day of March, 2017.

22                            
23                          \_\_\_\_\_  
                        BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA  
                        United States Magistrate Judge